arXiv:1302.1025v1 [math.SG] 5 Feb 2013

HAMILTONIAN FLOER HOMOLOGY FOR COMPACT CONVEX SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

SERGEI LANZAT

Abstract. We construct absolute and relative versions of Hamiltonian Floer homology algebras for strongly semi-positive compact symplectic manifolds with convex boundary, where the ring structures are given by the appropriate versions of the pair-of-pants products. We establish the absolute and relative Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz isomorphisms between these Floer homology algebras and the corresponding absolute and relative quantum homology algebras. As a result, the absolute and relative analogues of the spectral invariants on the group of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms are defined.

1. Introduction.

In [14] U. Frauenfelder and F. Schlenk defined the Floer homology for weakly exact compact convex symplectic manifolds. The authors also established the Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz (PSS) isomorphism between the ring of Floer homology and the ring of Morse homology of such manifolds. This in turn led to the construction of the spectral invariants on the group of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms analogous to the spectral invariants constructed by M. Schwarz in [30] and by Y.- G. Oh in [23] for closed symplectic manifolds. We extend the definitions and the constructions of U. Frauenfelder and F. Schlenk to the case of strongly semi-positive compact convex symplectic manifolds. In [17] we use these spectral invariants to construct (partial) quasi-morphisms on the universal cover $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M,\omega)$ of the group of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms for a certain class of non-closed strongly semi-positive symplectic manifolds (M,ω). This leads to a construction of (partial) symplectic quasi-states on the space of continuous functions on M that are constant near infinity.

1.1. **Setting.** We shall always work over the base field $\mathbb{F}$, which is either $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{Q}$. Consider a $2n$-dimensional compact symplectic manifold (M,ω) with non-empty boundary ∂M. Recall the following

Definition 1.1. *(cf.* [14], [19], [21]*) The boundary ∂M is called convex if there exists a Liouville vector field X (i.e. $\mathcal{L}_X\omega = d\iota_X\omega = \omega$), which is defined in the neighborhood*

Date: February 6, 2013.

Key words and phrases. pseudo-holomorphic curves, Gromov-Witten invariants, quantum homology, Floer homology, spectral invariants, convex symplectic manifolds.

of ∂M and which is everywhere transverse to ∂M, pointing outwards; equivalently, there exists a 1-form α on ∂M such that $d\alpha = \omega \mid_{\partial M}$ and such that $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^{n-1}$ is a volume form inducing the boundary orientation of $\partial M \subset M$. Therefore, $(\partial M, \ker \alpha)$ is a contact manifold, and that is why a convex boundary is also called of a contact type. A compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) with non-empty boundary ∂M is convex if ∂M is convex. A non-compact symplectic manifold (M, ω) is convex if there exists an increasing sequence of compact convex submanifolds $M_i \subset M$ exhausting M, that is,

$$M_1 \subset M_2 \subset \ldots \subset M_i \subset \ldots \subset M \quad \textit{and} \quad \bigcup_i M_i = M.$$

Recall that an *almost complex structure* on a smooth $2n$-dimensional manifold M is a section J of the bundle End TM such that $J^2(x) = -\mathbb{1}_{T_xM}$ for every $x \in M$. An almost complex structure J on M is called compatible with ω (or ω-compatible) if $g_J := \omega \circ (\mathbb{1} \times J)$ defines a Riemannian metric on M. Denote by $\mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$ the space of all ω-compatible almost complex structures on (M, ω).

Given (M, ω) and $J \in \mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$, then (TM, J) becomes a complex vector bundle and, as such, its first Chern class $c_1(TM, J, \omega) \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is defined. Note that since the space $\mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$ is non-empty and contractible, (see [20, Proposition 4.1, (i)]), the class $c_1(TM, J, \omega)$ does not depend on $J \in \mathcal{J}(M, \omega)$, and we shall denote it just by $c_1(TM, \omega)$.

Denote by $H_2^S(M)$ the image of the Hurewicz homomorphism $\pi_2(M) \to H_2(M, \mathbb{Z})$. The homomorphisms $c_1 : H_2^S(M) \to \mathbb{Z}$ and $\omega : H_2^S(M) \to \mathbb{R}$ are given by $c_1(A) := c_1(TM, \omega)(A)$ and $\omega(A) = [\omega](A)$ respectively.

Definition 1.2. *(cf. [15], [19]) A symplectic $2n$-manifold (M, ω) is called strongly semi-positive, if $\omega(A) \leq 0$ for any $A \in H_2^S(M)$ with $2 - n \leq c_1(A) < 0$.*

Next, we recall the definition of the quantum homology of compact convex symplectic manifolds, see [16], [18]. First of all, recall the definition of the intersection products for a manifold with boundary.

Definition 1.3. *Homomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} &\bullet_1 : H_i(M; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_j(M; \mathbb{F}) \to H_{i+j-2n}(M; \mathbb{F}) \\ &\bullet_2 : H_i(M; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_j(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F}) \to H_{i+j-2n}(M; \mathbb{F}) \\ &\bullet_3 : H_i(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F}) \otimes H_j(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F}) \to H_{i+j-2n}(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F}) \end{aligned}$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} a \bullet_1 b &:= \mathrm{PLD}_2 \left(\mathrm{PLD}_2^{-1}(b) \cup \mathrm{PLD}_2^{-1}(a) \right) \\ a \bullet_2 b &:= \mathrm{PLD}_2 \left(\mathrm{PLD}_2^{-1}(b) \cup \mathrm{PLD}_1^{-1}(a) \right) \\ a \bullet_3 b &:= \mathrm{PLD}_1 \left(\mathrm{PLD}_1^{-1}(b) \cup \mathrm{PLD}_1^{-1}(a) \right) \end{aligned}$$

are called the intersection products in homology.
Here,

$$H^j(M;\mathbb{F}) \overset{\mathrm{PLD}_1}{\longrightarrow} H_{2n-j}(M,\partial M;\mathbb{F}), H^j(M,\partial M;\mathbb{F}) \overset{\mathrm{PLD}_2}{\longrightarrow} H_{2n-j}(M;\mathbb{F})$$

are the Poincaré-Lefschetz isomorphisms given by $\mathrm{PLD}_i(\alpha) := \alpha \cap [M,\partial M]$, $i = 1,2$, *where* $[M,\partial M]$ *is the relative fundamental class, i.e. the positive generator of* $H_{2n}(M,\partial M;\mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}$.

Now, consider the following Novikov ring Λ. Let

$$G := G(M,\omega) := \frac{1}{2}\omega\left(H_2^S(M)\right) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \tag{1}$$

be the subgroup of half-periods of the symplectic form ω on spherical homology classes. Let s be a formal variable. Define the field $\mathbb{K}_G$ of generalized Laurent series in s over $\mathbb{F}$ of the form

$$\mathbb{K}_G := \left\{ f(s) = \sum_{\alpha\in G} z_\alpha s^\alpha, z_\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \middle|\ \#\{\alpha > c | z_\alpha \neq 0\} < \infty,\ \forall c \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \tag{2}$$

Definition 1.4. *Let q be a formal variable. The Novikov ring $\Lambda := \Lambda_G$ is the ring of polynomials in q, q^{-1} with coefficients in the field $\mathbb{K}_G$, i.e.*

$$\Lambda := \Lambda_G := \mathbb{K}_G[q,q^{-1}]. \tag{3}$$

We equip the ring Λ_G with the structure of a graded ring by setting $\deg(s) = 0$ *and* $\deg(q) = 1$. *We shall denote by Λ_k the set of elements of Λ of degree k. Note that $\Lambda_0 = \mathbb{K}_G$. The ring Λ admits the following valuation. The valuation $\nu : \mathbb{K}_G \to G \cup \{-\infty\}$ on the field $\mathbb{K}_G$ is given by*

$$\begin{cases} \nu\left(f(s) = \sum\limits_{\alpha\in G} z_\alpha s^\alpha\right) := \max\{\alpha | z_\alpha \neq 0\},\ f(s) \not\equiv 0 \\ \nu(0) = -\infty. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Extend ν to Λ by

$$\nu(\lambda) := \max\{\alpha | p_\alpha \neq 0\},$$

where λ is uniquely represented by

$$\lambda = \sum_{\alpha\in G} p_\alpha s^\alpha,\ \ p_\alpha \in \mathbb{F}[q,q^{-1}].$$

The absolute quantum homology $QH_*(M;\Lambda)$ and the relative quantum homology $QH_*(M,\partial M;\Lambda)$ are defined as follows. As modules, they are graded modules over Λ defined by $QH_*(M;\Lambda) := H_*(M;\mathbb{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \Lambda$ and $QH_*(M,\partial M;\Lambda) := H_*(M,\partial M;\mathbb{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \Lambda$. A grading on both modules is given by $\deg(a \otimes zs^\alpha q^m) = \deg(a) + m$. Next, we define the quantum products $*_l, l = 1,2,3$, which are deformations of the classical intersection products $\bullet_l, l = 1,2,3$. Choose a homogeneous basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^d$ of $H_*(M;\mathbb{F})$, such that

$e_1 = [pt] \in H_0(M;\mathbb{F})$. Let $\{e_k^\vee\}_{k=1}^d$ be the dual homogeneous basis of $H_*(M,\partial M;\mathbb{F})$ defined by $\langle e_i, e_j^\vee\rangle = \delta_{ij}$, where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the Kronecker pairing.

Definition 1.5. *Consider the group*

$$\Gamma := \Gamma(M,\omega) := \frac{H_2^S(M)}{\ker(c_1)\cap\ker(\omega)}. \tag{5}$$

Let $A \in H_2^S(M)$ and let $[A] \in \Gamma$ be the image of A in Γ. Bilinear homomorphisms of Λ-modules

$$\begin{aligned} *_1 &: QH_*(M;\Lambda)\times QH_*(M;\Lambda) \to QH_*(M;\Lambda) \\ *_2 &: QH_*(M;\Lambda)\times QH_*(M,\partial M;\Lambda) \to QH_*(M;\Lambda) \\ *_3 &: QH_*(M,\partial M;\Lambda)\times QH_*(M,\partial M;\Lambda) \to QH_*(M,\partial M;\Lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

are given as follows:
Let $a \in H_i(M;\mathbb{F}), b \in H_j(M;\mathbb{F})$ and let $c \in H_i(M,\partial M;\mathbb{F}), d \in H_j(M,\partial M;\mathbb{F})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a *_1 b &:= \sum_{[A]\in\Gamma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{A'\in[A]} GW_{A',2,3}(a,b,e_i^\vee)e_i\right)\otimes s^{-\omega(A)}q^{-2c_1(A)}, \\ a *_2 d &:= \sum_{[A]\in\Gamma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{A'\in[A]} GW_{A',1,3}(a,d,e_i^\vee)e_i\right)\otimes s^{-\omega(A)}q^{-2c_1(A)}, \\ c *_3 d &:= \sum_{[A]\in\Gamma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{A'\in[A]} GW_{A',1,3}(c,d,e_i)e_i^\vee\right)\otimes s^{-\omega(A)}q^{-2c_1(A)}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

with $\deg(a *_1 b) = \deg(a *_2 d) = \deg(c *_3 d) = i + j - 2n$. *We extend these $\mathbb{F}$-bilinear homomorphisms on classical homologies to Λ-bilinear homomorphisms on quantum homologies by Λ-linearity. Here,*

$$GW_{A,p,m} : H_*(M;\mathbb{F})^{\times p}\times H_*(M,\partial M;\mathbb{F})^{\times(m-p)} \to \mathbb{F}$$

stands for the genus zero Gromov-Witten invariant relative to the boundary, see [16], [18].

Like in the closed case, we have different natural pairings. The $\mathbb{K}_G$-valued pairings are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta_1 : QH_k(M)\times QH_{2n-k}(M) \to \Lambda_0 = \mathbb{K}_G, \\ &\Delta_2 : QH_k(M)\times QH_{2n-k}(M,\partial M) \to \Lambda_0 = \mathbb{K}_G, \\ &\Delta_l\,(a,b) := \imath(a *_l b),\ \text{ for } l = 1,2, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where the map

$$\imath : QH_0(M) = \bigoplus_i H_i(M;\mathbb{F}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \Lambda_{-i} \to \mathbb{K}_G$$

sends $[pt] \otimes f_0(s) + \sum_{m=1}^{2n} a_m \otimes f_m(s) q^{-m}$ to $f_0(s)$. The $\mathbb{F}$-valued pairings are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pi_1 : QH_k(M) \times QH_{2n-k}(M) \to \mathbb{F}, \\ &\Pi_2 : QH_k(M) \times QH_{2n-k}(M, \partial M) \to \mathbb{F}, \\ &\Pi_l = \jmath \circ \Delta_l, \text{ for } l = 1, 2, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where the map $\jmath : \mathbb{K}_G \to \mathbb{F}$ sends $f(s) = \sum_\alpha z_\alpha s^\alpha \in \mathbb{K}_G$ to z_0. Moreover, the pairings Δ_2 and Π_2 are non-degenerate. Since the quantum homology groups are finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}_G$-vector spaces in each degree, it follows that the paring Δ_2 gives rise to Poincaré-Lefschetz duality over the field $\mathbb{K}_G$.

1.2. **Structure of the paper.** In Section 2 we construct absolute and relative versions of Floer homology groups for strongly semi-positive compact symplectic manifolds with convex boundary and show the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality between them. These groups are equipped with ring structures by means of the appropriate versions of the pair-of-pants products. We establish the absolute and relative Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz isomorphisms between these Floer homology algebras and the corresponding absolute and relative quantum homology algebras mentioned above.

In Section 3 we define the absolute and relative analogues of the spectral invariants on the group of compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. We show that these invariants satisfy the standard properties analogously to the closed case.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Michael Entov, who introduced me to this subject, guided and helped me a lot. I am grateful to Michael Polyak for his valuable suggestions and comments in the course of my work on this paper. This work was carried out at Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, and I would like to acknowledge its excellent research atmosphere and hospitality.

2. Floer homology

From now on let (M, ω) be a strongly semi-positive compact convex $2n$-dimensional symplectic manifold. In the following Sections $2.1 - 2.2$ we recall important technical notations and facts discussed in [14].

2.1. **Completion of a convex symplectic manifold.** Let X be a Liouville vector field (see Definition 1.1), which is defined in some neighborhood of ∂M and which is everywhere transverse to ∂M, pointing outwards. Using X we can symplectically identify a neighborhood of ∂M with

$$(\partial M \times (-2\varepsilon, 0], d\,(e^r \alpha))$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\alpha = \iota_X \omega$ is the Liouville 1-form. In this identification we used coordinates (x, r) on $\partial M \times (-2\varepsilon, 0]$, and in these coordinates, $X(x, r) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ on $\partial M \times (-2\varepsilon, 0]$. We can thus view M as a compact subset of the non-compact symplectic manifold $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{\omega})$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{M} &= M \cup_{\partial M \times \{0\}} \partial M \times [0, \infty), \\ \widehat{\omega} &= \begin{cases} \omega & \text{on } M, \\ d\left(e^r \alpha\right) & \text{on } \partial M \times (-2\varepsilon, \infty), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and X smoothly extends to $\partial M \times (-2\varepsilon, \infty)$ by

$$\widehat{X}(x, r) := \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad (x, r) \in \partial M \times (-2\varepsilon, \infty).$$

For any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote the open "tube" $\partial M \times (r, \infty)$ by P_r:

$$P_r := \partial M \times (r, \infty).$$

Let $\phi^t := \phi^t_{\widehat{X}}$ be the flow of $\widehat{X}$. Then $\phi^r(x, 0) = (x, r)$ for $(x, r) \in P_{-2\varepsilon}$. Choose an $\widehat{\omega}$-compatible almost complex structure $\widehat{J}$ on $\widehat{M}$, such that

$$\widehat{\omega}\left(\widehat{X}(x), \widehat{J}(x)v\right) = 0, \qquad x \in \partial M, \ v \in T_x \partial M, \tag{10}$$

$$\widehat{\omega}\left(\widehat{X}(x), \widehat{J}(x)\widehat{X}(x)\right) = 1, \qquad x \in \partial M, \tag{11}$$

$$d_{(x,0)}\phi^r \widehat{J}(x, 0) = \widehat{J}(x, r) d_{(x,0)}\phi^r, \qquad (x, r) \in P_{-2\varepsilon}, \tag{12}$$

Definition 2.1. *For any smooth manifold B define the subset $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_B$ of the set of smooth sections $\Gamma\big(\widehat{M} \times B, \mathrm{End}\big(T\widehat{M}\big)\big)$ by*

$$\widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_B \ \Leftrightarrow \ \widehat{J}_b := \widehat{J}(\cdot, b) \textit{ is } \widehat{\omega}\textit{-compatible and satisfies } (10), (11) \textit{ and } (12).$$

For any $r \geq -2\varepsilon$ define $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{B,P_r}$ to be the set of all $\widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_B$ that are independent of the b-variable on $\overline{P_r}$. And, at last, we define the set

$$\mathcal{J}_{B,P_r} := \left\{ J \in \Gamma\left(M \times B, \mathrm{End}(TM)\right) \mid J = \widehat{J}|_{M \times B} \textit{ for some } \widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{B,P_r} \right\}.$$

By [8, Remark 4.1.2] or [9, discussion on page 106], the space $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{B,P_r}$ is non-empty and connected. Since the restriction map $\widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{B,P_r} \to \mathcal{J}_{B,P_r}$ is continuous, $\mathcal{J}_{B,P_r}$ is also non-empty and connected.

Let $e \in C^\infty\left(P_{-2\varepsilon}\right)$ be given by $e(x, r) := e^r$.

Theorem 2.2. *For $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ define $H \in C^\infty\left(P_{-2\varepsilon}\right)$ by*

$$H(p) = h(e(p)), \quad p \in P_{-2\varepsilon}.$$

Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_\Omega$. If $u \in C^\infty(\Omega, P_{-2\varepsilon})$ is a solution of Floer's equation

$$\partial_s u(z) + \widehat{J}(u(z), z)\partial_t u(z) + \nabla H(u(z)) = 0, \qquad z = s + it \in \Omega, \tag{13}$$

then

$$\Delta(e(u)) = g_{\widehat{J}}(\partial_s u, \partial_s u) + h''(e(u)) \cdot \partial_s(e(u)) \cdot e(u). \tag{14}$$

Theorem 2.3 (The case of a time-dependent Hamiltonian)**.** *Let $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and define $H \in C^\infty(P_{-2\varepsilon} \times \mathbb{R})$ by*

$$H(p, s) = h(e(p), s), \qquad p \in P_{-2\varepsilon},\ s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_\Omega$. If $u \in C^\infty(\Omega, P_{-2\varepsilon})$ is a solution of the time-dependent Floer equation

$$\partial_s u(z) + \widehat{J}(u(z), z)\partial_t u(z) + \nabla H(u(z), s) = 0, \qquad z = s + it \in \Omega, \tag{15}$$

then

$$\Delta(e(u)) = g_{\widehat{J}}(\partial_s u, \partial_s u) + \partial_1^2 h(e(u), s) \cdot \partial_s e(u) \cdot e(u) + \partial_1\partial_2 h(e(u), s) \cdot e(u).$$

Corollary 2.4 (Maximum Principle)**.** *Assume that $u \in C^\infty(\Omega, P_{-2\varepsilon})$ and that one of the following conditions holds.*

- (i) *u is a solution of Floer's equation* (13)*;*
- (ii) *u is a solution of the time-dependent Floer equation* (15) *and $\partial_1\partial_2 h \geq 0$.*

If $e \circ u$ attains its maximum on Ω, then $e \circ u$ is constant.

2.2. Admissible Hamiltonians on M.

Recall that given a smooth function (Hamiltonian) $H : \mathbb{S}^1 \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ one defines the smooth vector field X_H, called the Hamiltonian vector field of H, by

$$X_H : \mathbb{S}^1 \times M \to TM, \quad \omega(X_H, \cdot) = -dH(\cdot).$$

A flow generated by X_H will be denoted by ϕ_H^t.

Let R be the Reeb vector field of the Liouville form α on ∂M. Recall that R is uniquely defined by

$$\omega_x(v, R) = 0 \text{ and } \omega_x(X, R) = 1, \qquad x \in \partial M,\ v \in T_x\partial M. \tag{16}$$

Note that $R = \widehat{J}\widehat{X}|_{\partial M}$ for any $\widehat{J} \in \widehat{\mathcal{J}}_{B,P_{-2\varepsilon}}$. It follows that for any $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ the Hamilton equation $\dot{x} = X_H(x)$ of $H = h \circ e : P_{-2\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{R}$ restricted to ∂M has the form

$$\dot{x}(t) \ = \ h'(1)\, R(x(t)). \tag{17}$$

Define the Reeb period $\kappa \in (0, \infty]$ of R by

$$\kappa := \inf_{c>0} \left\{\dot{x}(t) = c\, R(x(t)) \text{ has a non-constant 1-periodic solution}\right\}. \tag{18}$$

Define two sets of smooth functions $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{\pm} \subseteq C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \widehat{M})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{H} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{+} \Leftrightarrow \exists h \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } \begin{cases} 0 \leq h'(e^r) < \kappa \ \forall r \geq 0, \\ h'(e^r) = 0 \ \forall r \geq \varepsilon, \\ \widehat{H}|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \overline{P_0}} = h \circ e, \end{cases} \\ &\widehat{H} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{-} \Leftrightarrow \exists h \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } \begin{cases} -\kappa < h'(e^r) \leq 0 \ \forall r \geq 0, \\ h'(e^r) = 0 \ \forall r \geq \varepsilon \\ \widehat{H}|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times \overline{P_0}} = h \circ e. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Note that

$$\widehat{H} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{+} \Longleftrightarrow -\widehat{H} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{-}.$$

By (17), (18) and (19) , we have that for any $\widehat{H} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{\pm}$ the restriction of the flow $\phi^t_{\widehat{H}}$ to $\overline{P_0}$ has no non-constant 1-periodic solutions. Next, we define two sets of ***admissible Hamiltonian functions on*** M by

$$\mathcal{H}^{\pm} := \left\{ H \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times M) \mid H = \widehat{H}|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times M} \text{ for some } \widehat{H} \in \widehat{\mathcal{H}}^{\pm} \right\}. \tag{20}$$

Note that the space $\mathcal{H}_c(M)$ of C^{∞}-smooth functions on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times M$, whose support is compact and is contained in $\mathbb{S}^1 \times (M \smallsetminus \partial M)$ is the subset of $\mathcal{H}^{+} \cap \mathcal{H}^{-}$.

Given $H \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^1 \times M)$, we denote the set of contractible 1-periodic orbits of ϕ^t_H by $\mathcal{P}_H$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{P}_H := \{x : \mathbb{S}^1 \to M \mid x(t) = \phi^t_H(x(0)), \ x \text{ is a contractible loop}\}.$$

For a generic (in the Baire sense in the strong Whitney C^{∞}-topology) $H \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm}$ we have

$$\det\left(\mathrm{id} - d_{x(0)}\phi^1_H\right) \neq 0 \tag{21}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$. See [15, Theorem 3.1]. Since M is compact, $\mathcal{P}_H$ is a finite set. An admissible H satisfying (21) for all $x \in \mathcal{P}_H$ is called ***regular***, and the set of regular admissible Hamiltonians is denoted by $\mathcal{H}^{\pm}_{reg} \subset \mathcal{H}^{\pm}$. Note that for $H \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm}_{reg}$ the corresponding function $h \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $h'(1) \neq 0$.

Denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the space of smooth contractible loops $x : \mathbb{S}^1 \to M$. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ be a covering of $\mathcal{L}$, whose elements are equivalence classes $\mathbb{x} := [x, \bar{x}]$ of pairs $(x, \bar{x})$, where $x \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\bar{x} : \mathbb{D}^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\} \to M$ satisfies $\bar{x}(e^{it}) = x(t)$, and where $(x_1, \bar{x}_1)$ and $(x_2, \bar{x}_2)$ are equivalent if and only if

$$x_1 = x_2, \quad \omega(\bar{x}_1 \#(-\bar{x}_2)) = 0, \quad c_1(\bar{x}_1 \#(-\bar{x}_2)) = 0.$$

The group Γ – see (5) – acts on equivalence classes $\mathbb{x}$ by

$$A\mathbb{x} = [x, \bar{x}\#(-A)], \quad A \in \Gamma,$$

and $\mathcal{L} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}/\Gamma$. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$ the full lift of $\mathcal{P}_H$ to $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, i.e.

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H} = \{\mathbb{x} = [x, \bar{x}] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \,|\, x \in \mathcal{P}_H\}.$$

There exists an integral grading $\mu : \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H} \to \mathbb{Z}$ by means of the Conley-Zehnder index, namely $\mu(\mathbb{x}) = n - \mu_{CZ}(x)$, see [15, Section 5]. We have that for a C^2-small autonomous Morse Hamiltonian f and $x \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$

$$\mu(\mathbb{x}) = \mathrm{ind}_f(x),$$

where the critical point x of f is viewed as a constant path and $\bar{x}$ is the trivial disk. Under the action of Γ the Conley-Zehnder grading behaves as follows:

$$\mu\left(A\mathbb{x}\right) = \mu(\mathbb{x}) + 2c_1(A).$$

For $H \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm}$ the ***symplectic action functional*** $\mathcal{A}_H : \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_H(\mathbb{x}) := -\int_{\mathbb{D}^2} \bar{x}^*\omega + \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} H(t, x(t))\, dt. \tag{22}$$

It is well-defined on $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Note that

$$\mathcal{A}_H(A\mathbb{x}) = \mathcal{A}_H(\mathbb{x}) + \omega(A)$$

for any $A \in \Gamma$. We also note that the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$ can be viewed as the set of critical points of $\mathcal{A}_H$, see [4, Section 5.3]. We denote by $\mathrm{Spec}(H)$ the ***action spectrum*** of H, i.e.

$$\mathrm{Spec}(H) = \left\{\mathcal{A}_H(\mathbb{x}) \in \mathbb{R} \,|\, \mathbb{x} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}\right\}. \tag{23}$$

The action spectrum is a measure zero set of $\mathbb{R}$, see [22, Lemma 2.2].

2.3. **Floer homology groups.** For a regular admissible $H \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm}_{reg}$, consider a free Λ-module $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H} \otimes \Lambda$. The grading on Λ and the μ-grading on $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$ give rise to the grading

$$\deg : \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H} \otimes \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}, \quad \deg(\mathbb{x} \otimes z s^{\alpha} q^m) = \mu(\mathbb{x}) + m. \tag{24}$$

Denote by R the Λ-submodule of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H} \otimes \Lambda$ generated by

$$A\mathbb{x} \otimes 1 - \mathbb{x} \otimes s^{\omega(A)} q^{2c_1(A)},$$

for all $\mathbb{x} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}, A \in \Gamma$. Since

$$\deg(A\mathbb{x} \otimes 1) = \deg(\mathbb{x} \otimes s^{\omega(A)} q^{2c_1(A)}) = \mu\left(A\mathbb{x}\right),$$

we get the graded Λ-module

$$CF_*(H; \Lambda) := \frac{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H} \otimes \Lambda}{R}. \tag{25}$$

The next step is to define a Λ-linear differential $\partial : CF_*(H; \Lambda) \to CF_*(H; \Lambda)$ of a graded degree -1. This is a Morse-type differential that counts the algebraic number of isolated Floer cylinders connecting critical points of $\mathcal{A}_H$, i.e. elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$. Take

$J \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1, P_{-2\varepsilon}}$ and for each pair $\mathbb{x} = [x, \bar{x}]$ and $\mathbb{y} = [y, \bar{y}]$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$, let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}; H, J)$ be the moduli space of Floer connecting cylinders from $\mathbb{x}$ to $\mathbb{y}$, namely the set of solutions $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1, M)$ of the problem

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_s u + J_t(u)\left(\partial_t u - X_H(u)\right) = 0, \\ \lim\limits_{s \to -\infty} u(s,t) = x(t), \quad \lim\limits_{s \to +\infty} u(s,t) = y(t), \\ \bar{x} \# u \# (-\bar{y}) \text{ represents the zero class in } \Gamma. \end{array} \right\} \tag{26}$$

The additive group $\mathbb{R}$ acts on $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}; H, J)$ by translations

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \tau : u(s,t) \mapsto u(s+\tau, t).$$

Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}; H, J)$ the quotient space $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}; H, J)/\mathbb{R}$. By the "Maximum Principle", see Corollary 2.4, every solution of (26) lies in $M \smallsetminus \partial M$. So we can apply the results of [15, Section 3] and [31, Section 3]. It follows that for generic $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1, P_{-2\varepsilon}}$ the space $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}; H, J)$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $\mu(\mathbb{x}) - \mu(\mathbb{y})$. Following [14], such a generic J will be called ***H*-regular**. A pair (H, J) will be called regular, if $H \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm}_{reg}$ and J is H-regular. The manifold $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}; H, J)$ carries the coherent orientation, see [13]. Moreover, if $\mu(\mathbb{x}) - \mu(\mathbb{y}) = 1$, then the quotient space $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}; H, J)$ is a compact zero-dimensional oriented manifold. Hence it is a finite set of points, each of which is equipped with an orientation sign ± 1. Denote by $n_{H,J}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}) := \#_{\mathbb{F}} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}; H, J)$ its algebraic (over $\mathbb{F}$) number of elements, and define the differential by

$$\partial(\mathbb{x} \otimes 1) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbb{y} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H} \\ \mu(\mathbb{y}) = \mu(\mathbb{x}) - 1}} n_{H,J}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}) \mathbb{y} \otimes 1. \tag{27}$$

It follows from [15, Theorem 3.3] and [31, Corollary 3.4] that the sum in (27) is a finite linear combination of elements of $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$ over Λ modulo the relation submodule R. Hence, $\partial(\mathbb{x} \otimes 1) (\mathrm{mod}\ R) \in CF_*(H; \Lambda)$ for all $\mathbb{x} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$. Extending ∂ by Λ-linearity to the whole $CF_*(H; \Lambda)$, we get a well-defined Λ-homomorphism

$$\partial := \partial_{H,J} : CF_*(H; \Lambda) \to CF_{*-1}(H; \Lambda).$$

Repeating the original proof of Floer in [12], we conclude that $\partial^2 = 0$. It follows that $(CF_*(H; \Lambda), \partial_{H,J})$ is a chain complex and its homology $H_*(CF_*(H; \Lambda), \partial_{H,J})$ is called the Floer homology over Λ and will be denoted by $HF_*(H, J; \Lambda)$. By Corollary 2.4 and by the connectedness of the space $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1, P_{-2\varepsilon}}$, the Floer continuation maps theorem [15, Theorem 5.2] still holds. Thus, the Floer homology $HF_*(H, J; \Lambda)$ does not depend on the choice of a regular pair (H, J).

Remark 2.5. *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the sets $CF_k(H; \Lambda)$ and $HF_k(H, J; \Lambda)$ are finite-dimensional vector spaces over the field $\Lambda_0 = \mathbb{K}_G$. There exists a basis of $CF_*(H; \Lambda)$ consisting of elements of the form $\mathbb{x} \otimes q^m$, with $\mathbb{x} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$.*

The action functional $\mathcal{A}_H$ and the valuation ν define the following filtration on the above Λ-modules. Let (H, J) be a generic pair. Define a map

$$\ell_H : CF_*(H;\Lambda) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$$

by

$$\begin{cases} \ell_H\left(c = \sum_i \mathbb{x}_i \otimes \lambda_i\right) := max\{\mathcal{A}_H(\mathbb{x}_i) + \nu(\lambda_i) |\ \lambda_i \neq 0\}, \\ \ell_H(0) = -\infty. \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

Since

$$\ell_H(A\mathbb{x} \otimes 1) = \ell_H\left(\mathbb{x} \otimes s^{\omega(A)} q^{2c_1(A)}\right) = \mathcal{A}_H(A\mathbb{x}),$$

the map ℓ_H is well-defined. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \mathrm{Spec}(H)$ and define subspaces $CF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha)}(H;\Lambda)$ and $CF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha]}(H;\Lambda)$ of $CF_*(H;\Lambda)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} CF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha)}(H;\Lambda) &:= \{c \in CF_*(H;\Lambda) | \ell_H(c) < \alpha\}, \\ CF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha]}(H;\Lambda) &:= \{c \in CF_*(H;\Lambda) | \ell_H(c) \leq \alpha\}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

For any $u \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}; H, J)$, the flow energy

$$E(u) := \int\limits_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1} g_J(\partial_s u, \partial_s u) ds \wedge dt$$

equals to $\mathcal{A}_H(\mathbb{x}) - \mathcal{A}_H(\mathbb{y}) \geq 0$. Hence

$$\ell_H(\partial c) < \ell_H(c) \tag{30}$$

for any $c \in CF_*(H;\Lambda)$. We conclude that the differential ∂ preserves that subspaces. Note that if $\omega \neq 0$, the subspaces $CF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha)}(H;\Lambda)$ and $CF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha]}(H;\Lambda)$ are only $\mathbb{F}$-submodules of $CF_*(H;\Lambda)$. Therefore, the quotient spaces

$$\begin{aligned} CF_*^{(\alpha,\infty)}(H;\Lambda) &:= \frac{CF_*(H;\Lambda)}{CF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha]}(H;\Lambda)}, \\ CF_*^{[\alpha,\infty)}(H;\Lambda) &:= \frac{CF_*(H;\Lambda)}{CF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha)}(H;\Lambda)} \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

are well-defined, and the differential ∂ induces a chain complex structure on both spaces. Define the filtered Floer homology groups by

$$\begin{aligned} HF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha)}(H, J;\Lambda) &:= H_*(CF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha)}(H,\Lambda), \partial), \\ HF_*^{(\alpha,\infty)}(H, J;\Lambda) &:= H_*(CF_*^{(\alpha,\infty)}(H,\Lambda), \partial). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Let $\pi^\alpha : CF_*(H;\Lambda) \twoheadrightarrow CF_*^{[\alpha,\infty)}(H;\Lambda)$ be the natural projection, and let $i^\alpha : CF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha)}(H;\Lambda) \hookrightarrow CF_*(H;\Lambda)$ be the inclusion map. Then we have induced homomorphisms in homology

$$\begin{aligned} i_*^\alpha &: HF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha)}(H,J;\Lambda) \to HF_*(H,J;\Lambda), \\ \pi_*^\alpha &: HF_*(H,J;\Lambda) \to HF_*^{(\alpha,\infty)}(H,J;\Lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The homological exact sequence yields $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\pi_*^\alpha) = \mathrm{Im}\,(i_*^\alpha)$.

2.4. **Poincaré-Lefschetz duality.** For any $H \in \mathcal{H}^\pm$ let $H^{(-1)} : \mathbb{S}^1 \times M \to \mathbb{R}$ be the Hamiltonian function defined by

$$H^{(-1)}(t,x) := -H(-t,x).$$

It follows that $H^{(-1)}$ generates the inverse flow, i.e. $(\phi_H^t)^{-1} = \phi_{H^{(-1)}}^t$, and that $H^{(-1)} \in \mathcal{H}^\mp$. Moreover, $H \in \mathcal{H}^\pm_{reg} \;\Leftrightarrow\; H^{(-1)} \in \mathcal{H}^\mp_{reg}$. In addition, there are bijective correspondences

$$\mathcal{P}_H \ni x \overset{1:1}{\longleftrightarrow} x^{(-1)} \in \mathcal{P}_{H^{(-1)}},$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H} \ni \mathbb{x} = [x,\bar{x}] \overset{1:1}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbb{x}^{(-1)} = \left[x^{(-1)}, \bar{x}^{(-1)}\right] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_{H^{(-1)}}},$$

where

$$x^{(-1)}(t) := x(-t) \text{ and } \bar{x}^{(-1)}(z) = \bar{x}(\bar{z}),$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{x},\mathbb{y};H,J) \ni u \overset{1:1}{\longleftrightarrow} u^{(-1)} \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{y}^{(-1)},\mathbb{x}^{(-1)};H^{(-1)},J^{(-1)}\right)$$

where $u^{(-1)}(s,t) := u(-s,-t)$ and $J^{(-1)}(t,p) := J(-t,p)$. In view of these correspondences, we have

$$\mu\left(\mathbb{x}^{(-1)}\right) = 2n - \mu(\mathbb{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_H(\mathbb{x}) = -\mathcal{A}_{H^{(-1)}}\left(\mathbb{x}^{(-1)}\right).$$

Following the general algebraic theory of M. Usher, see [33], we conclude that the chain complexes $CF_*(H,\Lambda)$ and $CF_*(H^{(-1)},\Lambda)$ are graded filtered (Floer-Novikov) complexes, which are opposite to each other. Therefore, following [11], [26] and [33], we define several pairings between opposite complexes. Firstly, let us fix Λ-generators of $CF_*(H,\Lambda)$, namely

$$CF_*(H,\Lambda) = \mathrm{Span}_\Lambda(\mathbb{x}_1,\dots,\mathbb{x}_s),\ s = |\mathcal{P}_H|.$$

Here, we abuse notation while writing $\mathbb{x}$ instead of $\mathbb{x}\otimes 1$. Then, of course, $CF_*(H^{(-1)},\Lambda) = \mathrm{Span}_\Lambda\left(\mathbb{x}_1^{(-1)},\dots,\mathbb{x}_s^{(-1)}\right)$, and we define an Λ-valued pairing

$$\mathscr{L}^\# : CF_*(H,\Lambda) \times CF_*\left(H^{(-1)},\Lambda\right) \to \Lambda$$

by extending Λ-linearly the relation $\mathscr{L}^\# \left(\mathbb{x}_i, \mathbb{x}_j^{(-1)}\right) = \delta_{ij}$, i.e.

$$\mathscr{L}^\# \left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i \mathbb{x}_i, \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda'_j \mathbb{x}_j^{(-1)}\right) = \sum_{ij} \lambda_i \lambda'_j \delta_{ij}. \tag{34}$$

The pairing $\mathscr{L}^\#$ is non-degenerate and satisfies

$$\mathscr{L}^\# \left(\partial \mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}^{(-1)}\right) = \mathscr{L}^\# \left(\mathbb{x}, \delta \mathbb{y}^{(-1)}\right),$$

for any $\mathbb{x} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$, $\mathbb{y} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_{H^{(-1)}}}$. Here $\delta := \partial_{H^{(-1)}, J^{(-1)}}$, see [33, Section 1.4]. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the pairing $\mathscr{L}^\#$ restricts to a non-degenerate $\mathbb{K}_G$-valued pairing

$$\mathcal{L}^\# := \mathscr{L}^\#|_{CF_k(H,\Lambda) \times CF_{2n-k}\left(H^{(-1)},\Lambda\right)} : CF_k(H, \Lambda) \times CF_{2n-k}\left(H^{(-1)}, \Lambda\right) \to \mathbb{K}_G.$$

Since $CF_k(H, \Lambda)$ and $CF_{2n-k}\left(H^{(-1)}, \Lambda\right)$ are finite-dimensional vector spaces over the field $\mathbb{K}_G$, the pairing $\mathcal{L}^\#$ gives rise to an isomorphism

$$CF_k(H, \Lambda) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}_G}\left(CF_{2n-k}\left(H^{(-1)}, \Lambda\right), \mathbb{K}_G\right).$$

By the universal coefficient theorem, we obtain the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality isomorphism

$$HF_k(H, J; \Lambda) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}_G}\left(HF_{2n-k}\left(H^{(-1)}, J^{(-1)}; \Lambda\right), \mathbb{K}_G\right). \tag{35}$$

The equality $\mathcal{L}^\# \left(\partial \mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}^{(-1)}\right) = \mathcal{L}^\# \left(\mathbb{x}, \delta \mathbb{y}^{(-1)}\right)$ implies that $\mathcal{L}^\#$ descends to a pairing

$$\mathcal{L} : HF_k(H, J; \Lambda) \times HF_{2n-k}\left(H^{(-1)}, J^{(-1)}; \Lambda\right) \to \mathbb{K}_G, \tag{36}$$

which is non-degenerate by the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality isomorphism.

We can go further and consider a $\mathbb{F}$-valued pairing

$$L^\# : CF_k(H, \Lambda) \times CF_{2n-k}\left(H^{(-1)}, \Lambda\right) \to \mathbb{F}$$

defined by $L^\# := \jmath \circ \mathcal{L}^\#$. Recall that the map $\jmath : \mathbb{K}_G \to \mathbb{F}$ sends $\sum_\alpha z_\alpha s^\alpha$ to z_0. The pairing $L^\#$ is non-degenerate and satisfies the equation

$$L^\# \left(\partial \mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}^{(-1)}\right) = n_{H,J}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}) = n_{H^{(-1)},J^{(-1)}}\left(\mathbb{y}^{(-1)}, \mathbb{x}^{(-1)}\right) = L^\# \left(\mathbb{x}, \delta \mathbb{y}^{(-1)}\right)$$

for any $\mathbb{x} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$, $\mathbb{y}^{(-1)} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_{H^{(-1)}}}$. This implies that $L^\#$ descends to a $\mathbb{F}$-bilinear pairing

$$L := \jmath \circ \mathcal{L} : HF_k(H, J; \Lambda) \times HF_{2n-k}\left(H^{(-1)}, J^{(-1)}; \Lambda\right) \to \mathbb{F}. \tag{37}$$

The paring L is obviously non-degenerate. We can consider restrictions of $L^\#$ and L to the filtered Floer chain groups, which are only $\mathbb{F}$-vector subspaces of the full Floer chain groups. Firstly, we note that since $\mu\left(\mathbb{x}^{(-1)}\right) = 2n - \mu(\mathbb{x})$ and $\mathcal{A}_H(\mathbb{x}) = -\mathcal{A}_{H^{(-1)}}\left(\mathbb{x}^{(-1)}\right)$ we have

$$L^\#|_{CF_k^{(-\infty,\alpha]}(H,\Lambda) \times CF_{2n-k}^{(-\infty,-\alpha)}\left(H^{(-1)},\Lambda\right)} = 0.$$

Hence, $L^{\#}$ descends to the quotient $CF_k^{(\alpha,\infty)}(H,\Lambda)$, namely we obtain a well-defined $\mathbb{F}$-bilinear non-degenerate pairing

$$L_\alpha^{\#} : CF_k^{(\alpha,\infty)}(H,\Lambda) \times CF_{2n-k}^{(-\infty,-\alpha)}\left(H^{(-1)},\Lambda\right) \to \mathbb{F}.$$

Once again the equation $L^{\#}\left(\partial \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}^{(-1)}\right) = L^{\#}\left(\mathrm{x}, \delta \mathrm{y}^{(-1)}\right)$ leads to a well-defined $\mathbb{F}$-bilinear pairing in homology

$$L_\alpha : HF_k^{(\alpha,\infty)}(H,J;\Lambda) \times HF_{2n-k}^{(-\infty,-\alpha)}\left(H^{(-1)},J^{(-1)};\Lambda\right) \to \mathbb{F}. \tag{38}$$

A non-trivial theorem due to M. Usher [33, Theorem 1.3] states that L_α is non-degenerate. Note that in the case $G = \omega(\Gamma) \leq \mathbb{R}$ is a discrete subgroup, this theorem was proved by M. Entov and L. Polterovich in [11] and by Y. Ostrover in [26].

2.5. **The pair-of-pants products.** In order to define a ring structure on the Floer homology groups in terms of pair-of-pants products we shall need to extend the Floer equation

$$\partial_s u + J(u)\left(\partial_t u - X_H(u)\right) = 0 \tag{39}$$

to more general Riemann surfaces than the cylinder $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1$. Note that the cylinder $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1$ has a global coordinate $z = s + it$ and the Floer equation is explicitly written in terms of this global coordinate. Let us first recall the equivalent way to view the Floer equation on the cylinder $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1$ with a global coordinate $z = s+it$ as a section of a suitable bundle. Let (Σ, j) be a Riemann surface, possibly with boundary. For $u \in C^\infty(\Sigma, M)$ consider the nonlinear Cauchy-Riemann operator

$$\overline{\partial}_J u = \frac{1}{2}(du + J(u)\circ du \circ j),$$

that is the complex anti-linear part of du with respect to the almost-complex structure $J \in \mathcal{J}_{\{pt\}}$ on M. The operator $\overline{\partial}_J$ is a section of the bundle over $C^\infty(\Sigma, M)$, whose fiber at u is $\Omega_J^{0,1}(\Sigma, u^*(TM))$. In local holomorphic coordinate $z = s+it$ on Σ, the operator $\overline{\partial}_J$ has the form

$$\overline{\partial}_J u = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_s u + J(u)\partial_t u)\, ds - \frac{1}{2}J(u)(\partial_s u + J(u)\partial_t u)\, dt. \tag{40}$$

In the case of the cylinder $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1$, we can associate to the Hamiltonian term in the Floer equation the complex anti-linear one-form

$$F_{J,H}(u) = -\frac{1}{2}(J(u)X_H(t,u)\, ds + X_H(t,u)\, dt) \in \Omega_J^{0,1}\left(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1, u^*(TM)\right). \tag{41}$$

From (40) we conclude that the Floer equation (39) is equivalent to

$$\overline{\partial}_J u + F_{J,H}(u) = 0, \tag{42}$$

where now $\overline{\partial}_J u + F_{J,H}(u) \in \Omega_J^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1, u^*(TM))$ is a section of a smooth bundle $\Lambda_J^{0,1}T^*(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1)\otimes u^*(TM)$. We see that the leading term $\partial_s + J(\cdot)\partial_t$ in the Floer equation (39) can be extended to Σ viewing it as an anti-linear one-form. But the

Hamiltonian term $F_{J,H}$ is defined in terms of coordinates and can not be extended directly to the whole Σ. There are several approaches to get around that difficulty. The standard, by now, treatments were developed in [27], [29], [21], [31]. These methods are based on Riemann surfaces with cylindrical ends, each of which is equipped with some fixed holomorphic coordinate $z = s + it$. Then the section $F_{J,H}$ is defined on such ends and then is extended to the whole Σ by considering a smooth family of Hamiltonians H_s, which vanishes far from the ends. Thus, the Floer section, see (42), deforms with the parameter s into the Cauchy-Riemann operator in the middle ("cut of pants") region far from the ends. In our case, the maximum principle, see Corollary 2.4, guaranties that for a smooth family of admissible Hamiltonians $H_s \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm}$, the corresponding pair-of-pants solutions do not leave the compact set $M_\varepsilon := \widehat{M} \smallsetminus P_\varepsilon$. Hence, we can repeat the arguments from [29], [27] and [31], see also [10]. We note that the counting of the pair-of-pants solutions occurs in M_ε, while the limits of their cylindrical ends lie in $M \smallsetminus \partial M$. As a consequence we have two well-defined pair-of-pants products according to the class of Hamiltonians. Namely, for regular pairs $(H_i^{\pm}, J_i^{\pm})$, $H_i^{\pm} \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have two homomorphisms

$$*_{PP}^{\pm} : HF_k(H_1^{\pm}, J_1^{\pm}; \Lambda) \otimes HF_l(H_2^{\pm}, J_2^{\pm}; \Lambda) \to HF_{k+l-2n}(H_3^{\pm}, J_3^{\pm}; \Lambda). \tag{43}$$

Both homomorphisms $*_{PP}^{\pm}$ are independent of the choice of regular pairs $(H_i^{\pm}, J_i^{\pm})$.

2.6. **Morse-theoretical description of quantum homology algebras.** Let X be a Liouville vector field on M, which is defined in some neighborhood of ∂M and which is everywhere transverse to ∂M, pointing outwards. Using the flow of X we can identify an open neighborhood U of ∂M with $\partial M \times (-\varepsilon, 0]$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$ be a Morse function. Denote by $\mathrm{Crit}_k(f)$ the set of critical points of f of the Morse index $\mathrm{ind}_f(x) = k$, and let $\mathrm{Crit}(f) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{2n} \mathrm{Crit}_k(f)$ be the set of all critical points of f. Fix some Riemannian metric g on M, and let $x \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$. Recall that the stable and unstable manifolds of the critical point x w.r.t. the negative gradient flow $\phi^t := \phi^t_{-\nabla_g f}$ are the subsets

$$W^s(x; f, g) := \{y \in M |\, \lim_{t\to+\infty} \phi^t(y) = x\}$$

$$W^u(x; f, g) := \{y \in M |\, \lim_{t\to-\infty} \phi^t(y) = x\}.$$

We shall consider the special class of Morse functions on M for which the Morse homology algebra is well-defined and isomorphic to the singular homology algebra of M. Let $\mathcal{F}^+(g) \subseteq C^\infty(M)$ be the set of all Morse functions f on M, such that

- (U_1) $\mathrm{Crit}(f) \cap \overline{U} = \varnothing$ and the gradient vector field $\nabla_g f$ of f is everywhere transversal to $\partial\overline{U}$,
- (U_2) U is positively invariant w.r.t. the negative gradient flow ϕ^t, i.e. $y \in U$ implies $\phi^t(y) \in U$ for every $t \geq 0$. Less formally, we can rephrase it by saying that the negative gradient vector field $-\nabla_g f$ points outwards along $\overline{U}$.

We define also the set $\mathcal{F}^-(g) := -\mathcal{F}^+(g) := \{f \in C^\infty(M) | -f \in \mathcal{F}^+(g)\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{F}^+(g)$ and $x \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$, then the stable manifold $W^s(x; f, g)$ lies in $M \smallsetminus \overline{U}$ and it is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2n-\mathrm{ind}_f(x)}$. The unstable manifold $W^u(x; f, g)$ is a smooth manifold, possibly with boundary, of dimension $\mathrm{ind}_f(x)$, and the boundary $\partial W^u(x; f, g)$ lies in ∂M. For a function $f \in \mathcal{F}^-(g)$ we have a dual picture. A function $f \in \mathcal{F}^\pm(g)$ will be called an ***admissible Morse function***.

We say that a function $f \in \mathcal{F}^\pm(g)$ is Morse-Smale if the stable and unstable manifolds of f intersect transversally. The Morse-Smale condition is generic in the following sense.

Theorem 2.6. *Let g be a Riemannian metric on M.*
(i) (**Kupka-Smale**) *(E.g.* [6, Theorem 6.6]*.) The set of Morse-Smale functions from $\mathcal{F}^\pm(g)$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}^\pm(g)$.*
(ii) (E.g. [28, Section 2.3] *or* [1, Theorem 2.20]*.) If $f \in \mathcal{F}^\pm(g)$, then there is a residual set of Riemannian metrics $\mathcal{G}(f)$ on M, such that $f \in \mathcal{F}^\pm(g')$ and f is Morse-Smale w.r.t. g' for any $g' \in \mathcal{G}(f)$.*

Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}^\pm(g)$ is Morse-Smale. Then, for any $x, y \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ the set of parametrized gradient trajectories connecting x and y

$$\mathcal{M}_{x,y}(f, g) := W^u(x; f, g) \pitchfork W^s(y; f, g)$$

is a smooth oriented manifold without boundary of dimension $\mathrm{ind}_f(x) - \mathrm{ind}_f(y)$. Note that the intersection $W^u(x; f, g) \pitchfork W^s(y; f, g)$ is indeed well-defined: if $f \in \mathcal{F}^+(g)$ $(f \in \mathcal{F}^-(g))$ then the stable manifold $W^s(y; f, g)$ (the unstable manifold $W^u(x; f, g)$) lies in $M \smallsetminus \overline{U}$. The additive group $\mathbb{R}$ acts smoothly, freely and properly on $\mathcal{M}_{x,y}(f, g)$ by reparametrizations. Thus, the space of unparametrized gradient trajectories connecting x and y

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x,y}(f, g) := \mathcal{M}_{x,y}(f, g)/\mathbb{R}$$

is a smooth oriented manifold of dimension $\mathrm{ind}_f(x) - \mathrm{ind}_f(y) - 1$. If $\mathrm{ind}_f(x) - \mathrm{ind}_f(y) - 1 = 0$, then $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x,y}(f, g)$ is a finite set of unparametrized trajectories, each of which is equipped with an orientation sign ± 1, see [28, Corollary 2.36]. Denote by $n_{f,g}(x, y) := \#_{alg}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{x,y}(f, g)$ its algebraic number of elements, and define the Morse complex $(CM_*(f), \partial)$ by

$$CM_*(f) := \mathrm{Crit}_*(f) \otimes \mathbb{F}, \quad \partial(x) = \sum_{\mathrm{ind}_f(x) - \mathrm{ind}_f(y) = 1} n_{f,g}(x, y) y.$$

Theorem 2.7. *(E.g.* [28, Chapter 4]*;* [1, Sections 2.7, 2.8]*.)*
Let $f \in \mathcal{F}^\pm(g)$ be a Morse-Smale function.
(i) The Morse complex $(CM_*(f), \partial)$ is a chain complex of $\mathbb{F}$-vector spaces, i.e. $\partial^2 = 0$. Its homology is denoted by $H_*(f; \mathbb{F})$.
(ii) For $f \in \mathcal{F}^+(g)$, the Morse homology $H_*(f; \mathbb{F})$ is isomorphic to the relative singular homology $H_*(M, \partial M; \mathbb{F})$.

(iii) For $f \in \mathcal{F}^-(g)$*, the Morse homology* $H_*(f;\mathbb{F})$ *is isomorphic to the singular homology* $H_*(M;\mathbb{F})$*.*

Next, we describe the intersection products $\bullet_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, in Morse homology, see [2], [3], [7]. Choose a triple of Morse functions $f_i \in \mathcal{F}^-(g)$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. After a generic perturbation of the data $\mathscr{F} := \{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ we may assume that

($\mathscr{F}_1$) the functions f_i are Morse-Smale for $i = 1, 2, 3$,
($\mathscr{F}_2$) $\mathrm{Crit}(f_1) \cap \mathrm{Crit}(f_2) = \varnothing$,
($\mathscr{F}_3$) the triple intersections

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}^1_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(\mathscr{F}, g) &:= W^u(x_1; f_1, g) \cap W^u(x_2; f_2, g) \cap W^s(x_3; f_3, g),\\
\mathcal{M}^2_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(\mathscr{F}, g) &:= W^u(x_1; f_1, g) \cap W^u(x_2; -f_2, g) \cap W^s(x_3; f_3, g),\\
\mathcal{M}^3_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(\mathscr{F}, g) &:= W^u(x_1; -f_1, g) \cap W^u(x_2; -f_2, g) \cap W^s(x_3; -f_3, g)
\end{aligned}$$

are transverse for any $x_i \in \mathrm{Crit}(f_i), i = 1, 2, 3$.

In this case, $\mathcal{M}^i_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(\mathscr{F}, g)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are either empty or smooth oriented manifolds without boundary of dimension

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim \mathcal{M}^1_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(\mathscr{F}, g) &= \mathrm{ind}_{f_1}(x_1) + \mathrm{ind}_{f_2}(x_2) - \mathrm{ind}_{f_3}(x_3) - 2n,\\
\dim \mathcal{M}^2_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(\mathscr{F}, g) &= \mathrm{ind}_{f_1}(x_1) + \mathrm{ind}_{-f_2}(x_2) - \mathrm{ind}_{f_3}(x_3) - 2n,\\
\dim \mathcal{M}^3_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(\mathscr{F}, g) &= \mathrm{ind}_{-f_1}(x_1) + \mathrm{ind}_{-f_2}(x_2) - \mathrm{ind}_{-f_3}(x_3) - 2n,
\end{aligned}$$

which lie in $M \setminus \overline{U}$. The manifolds $\mathcal{M}^i_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(\mathscr{F}, g)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are compact in dimension zero, and thus consist of a finite number of points, each of which is equipped with an orientation sign ±1. Denote by $n_{\bullet_i}(x_1, x_2; x_3) := \#_{alg}\mathcal{M}_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(\mathscr{F}, g), i = 1, 2, 3$ their algebraic number of elements. Then,

($\bullet_1$) the $\mathbb{F}$-bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned}
&CM_k(f_1) \times CM_l(f_2) \to CM_{k+l-2n}(f_3),\\
&\qquad (x_1, x_2) \mapsto \sum_{\substack{x_3 \in \mathrm{Crit}(f_3)\\ \mathrm{ind}_{f_3}(x_3) = k+l-2n}} n_{\bullet_1}(x_1, x_2; x_3)x_3,
\end{aligned}$$

is a chain map that induces the intersection product $\bullet_1$ in homology,

($\bullet_2$) the $\mathbb{F}$-bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned}
&CM_k(f_1) \times CM_l(-f_2) \to CM_{k+l-2n}(f_3),\\
&\qquad (x_1, x_2) \mapsto \sum_{\substack{x_3 \in \mathrm{Crit}(f_3)\\ \mathrm{ind}_{f_3}(x_3) = k+l-2n}} n_{\bullet_2}(x_1, x_2; x_3)x_3,
\end{aligned}$$

is a chain map that induces the intersection product $\bullet_2$ in homology,

($\bullet_3$) the $\mathbb{F}$-bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} CM_k(-f_1) \times CM_l(-f_2) \to CM_{k+l-2n}(-f_3),& \\ (x_1, x_2) \mapsto \sum_{\substack{x_3 \in \mathrm{Crit}(-f_3)\\ \mathrm{ind}_{-f_3}(x_3)=k+l-2n}} n_{\bullet_3}(x_1, x_2; x_3)x_3,& \end{aligned}$$

is a chain map that induces the intersection product $\bullet_3$ in homology.

Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}^{\pm}(g)$ is Morse-Smale and Λ is the Novikov ring as in (3). Define the Morse chain complex $(CM_*(f; \Lambda), \partial_\Lambda)$ with coefficients in the Novikov ring Λ by

$$CM_*(f; \Lambda) := \mathrm{Crit}_*(f) \otimes \Lambda, \quad \partial_\Lambda(x \otimes \lambda) = \sum_{\mathrm{ind}_f(x)-\mathrm{ind}_f(y)=1} n_{f,g}(x, y)y \otimes \lambda.$$

The grading is given by $\deg(x \otimes zs^\alpha q^m) = \mathrm{ind}_f(x) + 2m$. The Morse homology $H_*(f; \Lambda)$ is isomorphic as a Λ-module either to the relative quantum homology $QH_*(M, \partial M)$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}^+(g)$ or to the absolute quantum homology $QH_*(M)$ for $f \in \mathcal{F}^-(g)$. Let us describe the quantum products $*_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ via the Morse homology. For a class $A \in \Gamma$ – see (5) – and pairwise distinct marked points $\mathbf{z} := (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in (\mathbb{S}^2)^3$, choose a generic pair $(J, \mathscr{H}) \in \mathcal{JH}_{reg}(M, \partial M, \omega, A, \mathbf{z})$ of an almost complex structure and a Hamiltonian perturbation of the Cauchy-Riemann section – see [16, Sections 2.1.8-2.1.9]. Let g_J be the Riemannian metric induced by J. Take a generic Morse data $\mathscr{F} := \{f_1, f_2, f_3\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}^-(g_J)$ in the sense of $(\mathscr{F}_i), i = 1, 2, 3$, and take their critical points $x_i \in \mathrm{Crit}(f_i), i = 1, 2, 3$. Define the following subspaces of the space $\mathcal{M}(A, J, \mathscr{H})$ of $(J, \mathscr{H})$-holomorphic A-spheres :

($\mathcal{M}^1$) the space

$$\mathcal{M}^1_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(A, \mathscr{F}, g_J) := (\mathrm{ev}_{\mathbf{z},J,\mathscr{H}})^{-1} (W^u(x_1; f_1, g_J) \times W^u(x_2; f_2, g_J) \times W^s(x_3; f_3, g_J))$$

of all $(J, \mathscr{H})$-holomorphic A-spheres $u : \mathbb{CP}^1 \to M$ such that

$$u(z_1) \in W^u(x_1; f_1, g_J), \quad u(z_2) \in W^u(x_2; f_2, g_J), \quad u(z_3) \in W^s(x_3; f_3, g_J),$$

($\mathcal{M}^2$) the space

$$\mathcal{M}^2_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(A, \mathscr{F}, g_J) := (\mathrm{ev}_{\mathbf{z},J,\mathscr{H}})^{-1} (W^u(x_1; f_1, g_J) \times W^u(x_2; -f_2, g_J) \times W^s(x_3; f_3, g_J))$$

of all $(J, \mathscr{H})$-holomorphic A-spheres $u : \mathbb{CP}^1 \to M$ such that

$$u(z_1) \in W^u(x_1; f_1, g_J), \quad u(z_2) \in W^u(x_2; -f_2, g_J), \quad u(z_3) \in W^s(x_3; f_3, g_J),$$

($\mathcal{M}^3$) the space

$$\mathcal{M}^3_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(A, \mathscr{F}, g_J) := (\mathrm{ev}_{\mathbf{z},J,\mathscr{H}})^{-1} (W^u(x_1; -f_1, g_J) \times W^u(x_2; -f_2, g_J) \times W^s(x_3; -f_3, g_J))$$

of all $(J,\mathscr{H})$-holomorphic A-spheres $u:\mathbb{CP}^1\to M$ such that

$$u(z_1)\in W^u(x_1;-f_1,g_J),\quad u(z_2)\in W^u(x_2;-f_2,g_J),\quad u(z_3)\in W^s(x_3;-f_3,g_J).$$

Here $\mathrm{ev}_{\mathbf{z},J,\mathscr{H}}:\mathcal{M}(A,J,\mathscr{H})\to M^3$ is the evaluation map given by $\mathrm{ev}_{\mathbf{z},J,\mathscr{H}}(u)=(u(z_1),u(z_2),u(z_3))$. Since the chosen data is generic, the spaces $\mathcal{M}^i_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(A,\mathscr{F},g_J)$, $i=1,2,3$ are smooth oriented manifolds of dimension

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim\mathcal{M}^1_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(A,\mathscr{F},g_J)&=2c_1(A)-2n+\mathrm{ind}_{f_1}(x_1)+\mathrm{ind}_{f_2}(x_2)-\mathrm{ind}_{f_3}(x_3),\\
\dim\mathcal{M}^2_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(A,\mathscr{F},g_J)&=2c_1(A)-2n+\mathrm{ind}_{f_1}(x_1)+\mathrm{ind}_{-f_2}(x_2)-\mathrm{ind}_{f_3}(x_3),\\
\dim\mathcal{M}^3_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(A,\mathscr{F},g_J)&=2c_1(A)-2n+\mathrm{ind}_{-f_1}(x_1)+\mathrm{ind}_{-f_2}(x_2)-\mathrm{ind}_{-f_3}(x_3).
\end{aligned}$$

They are compact in dimension zero, and thus consist of a finite number of points, each of which is equipped with an orientation sign ±1. Denote by $n^A_{*_i}(x_1,x_2;x_3):=\#_{\mathbb{F}}\mathcal{M}^i_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(A,\mathscr{F},g_J)$, $i=1,2,3$, their algebraic (over $\mathbb{F}$) number of elements. Then

$(*_1)$ the Λ-bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned}
&CM_k(f_1;\Lambda)\times CM_l(f_2;\Lambda)\to CM_{k+l-2n}(f_3;\Lambda)\\
&\qquad(x_1\otimes\lambda_1,x_2\otimes\lambda_2)\mapsto\sum_{A\in\Gamma}(x_1*_1x_2)_A\otimes s^{-\omega(A)}q^{-2c_1(A)}\lambda_1\lambda_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(x_1*_1x_2)_A:=\sum_{\substack{x_3\in\mathrm{Crit}(f_3)\\ \dim\mathcal{M}^1_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(A,\mathscr{F},g_J)=0}}n^A_{*_1}(x_1,x_2;x_3)x_3,$$

is a chain map that induces the intersection product $*_1$ in quantum homology,

$(*_2)$ the Λ-bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned}
&CM_k(f_1;\Lambda)\times CM_l(-f_2;\Lambda)\to CM_{k+l-2n}(f_3;\Lambda)\\
&\qquad(x_1\otimes\lambda_1,x_2\otimes\lambda_2)\mapsto\sum_{A\in\Gamma}(x_1*_2x_2)_A\otimes s^{-\omega(A)}q^{-2c_1(A)}\lambda_1\lambda_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(x_1*_2x_2)_A:=\sum_{\substack{x_3\in\mathrm{Crit}(f_3)\\ \dim\mathcal{M}^2_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(A,\mathscr{F},g_J)=0}}n^A_{*_2}(x_1,x_2;x_3)x_3,$$

is a chain map that induces the intersection product $*_2$ in quantum homology,

$(*_3)$ the Λ-bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned}
&CM_k(-f_1;\Lambda)\times CM_l(-f_2;\Lambda)\to CM_{k+l-2n}(-f_3;\Lambda)\\
&\qquad(x_1\otimes\lambda_1,x_2\otimes\lambda_2)\mapsto\sum_{A\in\Gamma}(x_1*_3x_2)_A\otimes s^{-\omega(A)}q^{-2c_1(A)}\lambda_1\lambda_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(x_1 *_3 x_2)_A := \sum_{\substack{x_3\in \mathrm{Crit}(-f_3)\\ \dim \mathcal{M}^3_{x_1,x_2;x_3}(A,\mathscr{F},g_J)=0}} n^A_{*_3}(x_1,x_2;x_3)x_3,$$

is a chain map that induces the intersection product $*_3$ in quantum homology.

2.7. **The PSS isomorphisms.** Recall that if $f^\pm \in \mathcal{F}^\pm(g)$ are admissible Morse-Smale functions, then the Morse homology $H_*(f^+;\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to the relative quantum homology $QH_*(M,\partial M)$ as an Λ-algebra, and the Morse homology $H_*(f^-;\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to the absolute quantum homology $QH_*(M)$ as an Λ-algebra. Using these isomorphisms let

$$*^+ : H_*(f^+;\Lambda)\otimes H_*(f^+;\Lambda)\to H_*(f^+;\Lambda)$$

be the corresponding quantum product $*_3$ on $QH_*(M,\partial M)$, and let

$$*^- : H_*(f^-;\Lambda)\otimes H_*(f^-;\Lambda)\to H_*(f^-;\Lambda)$$

be the corresponding quantum product $*_1$ on $QH_*(M)$, see (6). Following the arguments of U. Frauenfelder and F. Schlenk in [14, Section 4] we shall construct the Piunikhin-Salamon-Schwarz-type isomorphisms of Λ-algebras

$$\Phi^\pm_{PSS} : \left(H_*(f^\pm;\Lambda), *^\pm\right) \to \left(HF_*\left(H^\pm, J^\pm;\Lambda\right), *^\pm_{PP}\right),$$

see also [27]; [21, Section 12.1].

Given two admissible almost complex structures $J^{-\infty}, J^{+\infty}\in\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1,P_{-2\varepsilon}}$, consider the space $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1,P_{-2\varepsilon}}(J^-,J^+)$ of smooth families of admissible almost complex structures, such that $(J_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\in\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1,P_{-2\varepsilon}}(J^{-\infty},J^{+\infty})$ if and only if $J_s\in\mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1,P_{-2\varepsilon}}$ for all $s\in\mathbb{R}$ and there exists $s_0=s_0(J_s)>0$ such that $J_s=J^{-\infty}$ for $s\le -s_0$ and $J_s=J^{+\infty}$ for $s\ge s_0$.

Consider regular admissible Hamiltonian $H:=H^+\in\mathcal{H}^+_{reg}$ and admissible Morse-Smale functions $f^+\in\mathcal{F}^+(g)$. There exists $\widehat{H}\in\widehat{\mathcal{H}}^+$, such that $H=\widehat{H}|_{\mathbb{S}^1\times M}$ and $\widehat{H}|_{\mathbb{S}^1\times\overline{P_0}} = h\circ e$ for some $h\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $0\le h'(e^r)<\kappa$ for all $r\ge 0$ and $h'(e^r)=0$ for all $r\ge\varepsilon$. Take a smooth homotopy $(h_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\subseteq C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, such that

(h1) $h_s=0,\quad s\le 0,$
(h2) $h'_s(e^r)=0$ for all $r\ge\varepsilon$,
(h3) $h_s=h,\quad s\ge 1,$

and then choose a smooth homotopy $(\widehat{H}_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\subseteq C^\infty\left(\mathbb{S}^1\times\widehat{M}\right)$, such that

(H1) $\widehat{H}_s=0,\quad s\le 0,$
(H2) $\widehat{H}_s|_{\mathbb{S}^1\times\overline{P_0}}=h_s\circ e,\quad 0\le s\le 1,$
(H3) $\widehat{H}_s=\widehat{H},\quad s\ge 1.$

We define $H_s\in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1\times M)$ to be $H_s:=\widehat{H}_s|_{\mathbb{S}^1\times M}$. The following important theorem was proved in [14, Theorem 4.1], see also [27, Example 3.3].

Theorem 2.8. *Let $J^{+\infty} \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1, P_{-2\varepsilon}}$ be an H-regular admissible almost complex structure and $J^{-\infty} \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1, P_{-2\varepsilon}}$ be an arbitrary admissible almost complex structure. For any $\mathbb{x} = [x, \bar{x}] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$ and a generic element $(J_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1, P_{-2\varepsilon}}(J^{-\infty}, J^{+\infty})$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{x}, (H_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}, (J_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\right)$ of the problem*

$$\begin{cases} u \in C^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1, \widehat{M}\right), \\ \partial_s u + \widehat{J}_{s,t}(u)\left(\partial_t u - X_{\widehat{H}_{s,t}}(u)\right) = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_s u|^2 < \infty, \\ \lim\limits_{s\to+\infty} u(s,t) = x(t), \\ u\#(-\bar{x}) \text{ represents the zero class in } \Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{44}$$

is a smooth manifold of dimension $2n - \mu(\mathbb{x})$. Here, $\widehat{J}_{s,t} = \widehat{J}_s(\cdot, t)$ is the extension of $J_s(\cdot, t)$ and $\widehat{H}_{s,t} = \widehat{H}_s(\cdot, t)$.

Remark 2.9. *The finite energy condition, the condition $(H1)$ and the removable of singularities theorem imply that the limit $\lim_{s\to-\infty} u(s,t)$ exists, which is a point in $\widehat{M}$. By the maximum principle, see Corollary 2.4, it actually lies in $M \setminus \partial M$. Moreover, if the homotopy h_s satisfies the condition in Corollary 2.4, (ii) then the map $u\#(-\bar{x})$ is a spheroid in $M \setminus \partial M$ for any solution $u \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{x}, (H_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}, (J_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\right)$. If the homotopy h_s does not satisfy this condition then we can only conclude that all solutions $u \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{x}, (H_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}, (J_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\right)$ lie in the compact set $\widehat{M} \setminus P_\varepsilon$. But since the limits $\lim\limits_{s\to\pm\infty} u(s,t)$ lie in $M \setminus \partial M$, all further arguments will remain unaffected.*

We have a well-defined smooth evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev} : \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{x}, (H_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}, (J_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\right) \to M,\ \mathrm{ev}(u) = \lim_{s\to-\infty} u(s,t).$$

For generic family $(J_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}$ the map ev is transversal to every unstable manifold $W^u(p; f^+, g)$, $p \in \mathrm{Crit}(f^+)$. Hence for every $p \in \mathrm{Crit}(f^+)$ and every $\mathbb{x} = [x, \bar{x}] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$ the moduli space of mixed trajectories $\mathcal{M}_+^{p\rightsquigarrow\mathbb{x}} := \mathcal{M}_+^{PSS}(p, \mathbb{x}, (H_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}, (J_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}, g)$

$$\mathcal{M}_+^{p\rightsquigarrow\mathbb{x}} := \left\{ (\gamma, u) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \gamma \in C^\infty((-\infty, 0], M), \\ u \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{x}, (H_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}, (J_s)_{s\in\mathbb{R}}), \\ \gamma'(s) = -\nabla_g f^+(\gamma(s)),\ \lim\limits_{s\to-\infty}\gamma(s) = p, \\ \gamma(0) = \mathrm{ev}(u),\ \lim\limits_{s\to+\infty} u(s,t) = x(t), \\ u\#(-\bar{x}) \text{ represents the zero class in } \Gamma. \end{array} \right\} \tag{45}$$

is a smooth manifold of dimension $\mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p) - \mu(\mathbb{x})$. It carries the coherent orientation, see [13]. When $\mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p) = \mu(\mathbb{x})$ the manifold $\mathcal{M}_+^{p\rightsquigarrow\mathbb{x}}$ is zero dimensional, and by strong semi-positivity its compact, i.e. a finite set of oriented points. In this case denote by

$n_+^{PSS}(p,\mathbb{x}) := \#_{\mathbb{F}}\mathcal{M}_+^{p\rightsquigarrow\mathbb{x}}$ the algebraic (over $\mathbb{F}$) number of its elements. Following [27] and [14] we define a Λ-module homomorphism

$$\phi^+_{PSS} : CM_*(f^+;\Lambda) \to CF_*(H^+, J^{+\infty};\Lambda)$$

by the Λ-linear extension of

$$\phi^+_{PSS}(p\otimes 1) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbb{x}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H} \\ \mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p)=\mu(\mathbb{x})}} n_+^{PSS}(p,\mathbb{x})\mathbb{x}\otimes 1 \pmod R. \tag{46}$$

By [15, Theorem 3.3] and [31, Corollary 3.4], the map is indeed a well-defined Λ-module homomorphism, which respects the grading. Recall that for the Morse chain complex $(CM_*(f^+;\Lambda), \partial_\Lambda)$ with coefficients in the Novikov ring Λ we have

$$\partial_\Lambda(p\otimes\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{q\in\mathrm{Crit}(f^+) \\ \mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p)-\mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(q)=1}} n_{f^+,g}(p,q)q\otimes\lambda.$$

Since (M,ω) is strongly semi-positive, we may apply the standard gluing and compactness arguments to get that for every $p\in\mathrm{Crit}(f^+)$, $\mathbb{x}=[x,\bar{x}]\in\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$ such that $\mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p)-\mu(\mathbb{x})=1$

$$\sum_{\substack{q\in\mathrm{Crit}(f^+) \\ \mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p)-\mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(q)=1 \\ \mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(q)=\mu(\mathbb{x})}} n_{f^+,g}(p,q)n_+^{PSS}(q,\mathbb{x}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbb{y}\in\widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H} \\ \mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p)=\mu(\mathbb{y}) \\ \mu(\mathbb{y})-\mu(\mathbb{x})=1}} n_+^{PSS}(p,\mathbb{y})n_{H^+,J^{+\infty}}(\mathbb{y},\mathbb{x}).$$

It follows that ϕ^+_{PSS} intertwines the Morse and the Floer boundary operators and hence it induces a homomorphism of Λ-modules

$$\Phi^+_{PSS} : H_*(f^+;\Lambda) \to HF_*\left(H^+, J^{+\infty};\Lambda\right).$$

In order to show that Φ^+_{PSS} is a Λ-module isomorphism we construct its opposite homomorphism of Λ-modules

$$\Psi_+^{PSS} : HF_*\left(H^+, J^{+\infty};\Lambda\right) \to H_*(f^+;\Lambda).$$

For that matter we define the chain-homotopic inverse

$$\psi_+^{PSS} : CF_*(H^+, J^{+\infty};\Lambda) \to CM_*(f^+;\Lambda)$$

of ϕ^+_{PSS} as follows. For any $\mathbb{x} = [x, \bar{x}] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$ and a generic element $(J_{-s})_{s\in\mathbb{R}} \in \mathcal{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1, P_{-2\varepsilon}}(J^{-\infty}, J^{+\infty})$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{x}, (H_{-s})_{s\in\mathbb{R}}, (J_{-s})_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\right)$ of the problem

$$\begin{cases} u \in C^\infty\left(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1, \widehat{M}\right), \\ \partial_s u + \widehat{J}_{-s,t}(u)\left(\partial_t u - X_{\widehat{H}_{-s,t}}(u)\right) = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{S}^1} \left|\partial_s u\right|^2 < \infty, \\ \lim\limits_{s\to-\infty} u(s,t) = x(t), \\ u\#(-\bar{x}) \text{ represents the zero class in } \Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{47}$$

is a smooth manifold of dimension $\mu(\mathbb{x})$.

As above, we have a well-defined smooth evaluation map

$$\mathrm{ev} : \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{x}, (H_{-s})_{s\in\mathbb{R}}, (J_{-s})_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\right) \to M, \ \mathrm{ev}(u) = \lim_{s\to+\infty} u(s,t).$$

For generic family $(J_{-s})_{s\in\mathbb{R}}$ the map ev is transversal to every stable manifold $W^s(p; f^+, g)$, $p \in \mathrm{Crit}(f^+)$. Hence for every $p \in \mathrm{Crit}(f^+)$ and every $\mathbb{x} = [x, \bar{x}] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$ the moduli space of mixed trajectories $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{x}\rightsquigarrow p}_+ := \mathcal{M}^{PSS}_+\left(\mathbb{x}, p, (H_{-s})_{s\in\mathbb{R}}, (J_{-s})_{s\in\mathbb{R}}, g\right)$

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{x}\rightsquigarrow p}_+ := \left\{ (u,\gamma) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} u \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{x}, (H_{-s})_{s\in\mathbb{R}}, (J_{-s})_{s\in\mathbb{R}}\right), \\ \gamma \in C^\infty([0,+\infty), M), \\ \gamma'(s) = -\nabla_g f^+(\gamma(s)), \lim\limits_{s\to+\infty} \gamma(s) = p, \\ \gamma(0) = \mathrm{ev}(u), \lim\limits_{s\to-\infty} u(s,t) = x(t), \\ \bar{x}\# u \text{ represents the zero class in } \Gamma. \end{array} \right\} \tag{48}$$

is a smooth manifold of dimension $\mu(\mathbb{x}) - \mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p)$. It carries the coherent orientation. When $\mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p) = \mu(\mathbb{x})$ the manifold $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{x}\rightsquigarrow p}_+$ is zero dimensional, and by strong semi-positivity its compact, i.e. a finite set of oriented points. In this case denote by $n^{PSS}_+(\mathbb{x}, p) := \#_{\mathbb{F}}\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{x}\rightsquigarrow p}_+$ the algebraic (over $\mathbb{F}$) number of its elements. Following [27] and [14] we define a Λ-module homomorphism

$$\psi^{PSS}_+ : CF_*(H^+, J^{+\infty}; \Lambda) \to CM_*(f^+; \Lambda)$$

by the Λ-linear extension of

$$\psi^{PSS}_+(\mathbb{x}\otimes 1) := \sum_{\substack{p\in\mathrm{Crit}(f^+)\\ \mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p)=\mu(\mathbb{x})}} n^{PSS}_+(\mathbb{x}, p)\, p\otimes 1. \tag{49}$$

By [15, Theorem 3.3] and [31, Corollary 3.4], the map is indeed a well-defined Λ-module homomorphism, which respects the grading. Applying the standard gluing and compactness arguments for strongly semi-positive symplectic manifolds we conclude for every $p \in \mathrm{Crit}(f^+)$, $\mathbb{x} = [x, \bar{x}] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H}$ such that $\mu(\mathbb{x}) - \mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p) = 1$

$$\sum_{\substack{q \in \mathrm{Crit}(f^+) \\ \mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(q) - \mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p) = 1 \\ \mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(q) = \mu(\mathbb{x})}} n_+^{PSS}(\mathbb{x}, q) n_{f^+, g}(q, p) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbb{y} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_H} \\ \mathrm{ind}_{f^+}(p) = \mu(\mathbb{y}) \\ \mu(\mathbb{x}) - \mu(\mathbb{y}) = 1}} n_{H^+, J^{+\infty}}(\mathbb{x}, \mathbb{y}) n_+^{PSS}(\mathbb{y}, p).$$

It follows that ψ_+^{PSS} intertwines the Morse and Floer boundary operators and hence it induces a homomorphism of Λ-modules

$$\Psi_+^{PSS} : H_*(f^+; \Lambda) \to HF_*\left(H^+, J^{+\infty}; \Lambda\right).$$

Following the arguments from [27, Theorems 4.1 and 5.1]; [21, Sections 12.1 – 12.2]; [5, pages 2350 – 2351], we conclude that

$$\Phi_{PSS}^+ : \left(H_*(f^+; \Lambda), *^+\right) \to \left(HF_*\left(H^+, J^{+\infty}; \Lambda\right), *_{PP}^+\right)$$
$$\Psi_{PSS}^+ : \left(HF_*\left(H^+, J^{+\infty}; \Lambda\right), *_{PP}^+\right) \to \left(H_*(f^+; \Lambda), *^+\right)$$

are mutually opposite Λ-algebras isomorphisms.

Repeating the above constructions for the opposite data:

- the Morse-Smale function $f^- := -f^+ \in \mathcal{F}^-(g)$,
- the regular Hamiltonian $H^- := H^{(-1)}$, where
$$H^{(-1)}(t, x) := -H(-t, x) \in \mathcal{H}_{reg}^-,$$
- the generic family $\left(J_s^{(-1)}\right)_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \in \mathscr{J}_{\mathbb{S}^1, P_{-2\varepsilon}}\left(\left(J^{-\infty}\right)^{(-1)}, \left(J^{+\infty}\right)^{(-1)}\right)$, such that $\left(J^{+\infty}\right)^{(-1)}$ is H^--regular, where
$$J_s^{(-1)}(t, p) := J_s(-t, p),$$

we get mutually opposite Λ-algebras isomorphisms

$$\Phi_{PSS}^- : \left(H_*(f^-; \Lambda), *^-\right) \to \left(HF_*\left(H^-, \left(J^{+\infty}\right)^{(-1)}; \Lambda\right), *_{PP}^-\right)$$
$$\Psi_{PSS}^- : \left(HF_*\left(H^-, \left(J^{+\infty}\right)^{(-1)}; \Lambda\right), *_{PP}^-\right) \to \left(H_*(f^-; \Lambda), *^-\right).$$

3. SPECTRAL INVARIANTS

Recall that $\mathrm{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$ is the group of smooth compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of (M, ω), i.e. the group of time-1-maps ϕ_H^1

$$\mathrm{Ham}_c(M, \omega) := \{\phi = \phi_H^1 | \ H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)\},$$

where ϕ_H^t is the flow generated by the time-dependent Hamiltonian vector field X_H of H. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M, \omega)$ the universal cover of $\mathrm{Ham}_c(M, \omega)$, i.e. the set of homotopy classes

$$\widetilde{\phi} := \widetilde{\phi_H^1} := [\phi(s)]_{\mathrm{rel}\ \{\mathrm{id}, \phi(1) = \phi_H^1\}}$$

relatively to fixed ends of smooth identity-based paths

$$s \mapsto \phi(s) \in C^\infty\left(([0,1],\{0\}),(\mathrm{Ham}_c(M,\omega),\mathrm{id})\right).$$

We shall write $H \sim K$ if $\widetilde{\phi_H^1} = \widetilde{\phi_K^1}$. Denote also $(QH_*^+, *^+) := (QH_*(M,\partial M;\Lambda), *_3)$ and $(QH_*^-, *^-) := (QH_*(M;\Lambda), *_1)$.

Following the papers of Viterbo [34], Schwarz [30] and Oh [23] we define two kinds of spectral invariants

$$c^\pm : QH_*^\pm \times \mathcal{H}^\pm \to \mathbb{R}, \tag{50}$$

which restrict to

$$c^\pm : QH_*^\pm \times \widetilde{\mathrm{Ham}}_c(M,\omega) \to \mathbb{R} \tag{51}$$

as follows. For a regular admissible pair $(H^\pm, J^\pm)$ and for $0 \neq a^\pm \in QH_*^\pm$ we define

$$c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) := \inf\left\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mid \Phi^\pm_{PSS}(a^\pm) \in HF_*^{(-\infty,\alpha)}(H^\pm, J^\pm;\Lambda)\right\}. \tag{52}$$

We note that the equivalent definition of the spectral numbers is

$$c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) := \sup\left\{\ell_{H^\pm}\left(c^\pm\right) \mid c^\pm \in CF_*(H^\pm;\Lambda), [c^\pm] = \Phi^\pm_{PSS}(a^\pm)\right\}. \tag{53}$$

In particular,

$$c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) := \sup_m c^\pm\left(\left(a^\pm\right)^{[m]}, H^\pm\right), \tag{54}$$

where $(a^\pm)^{[m]}$ is the degree-m-component of $a^\pm$.

Repeating verbatim the proofs of [25, Theorem 5.3, Proposition 5.8], we get the following

Proposition 3.1.

- *(i) Spectral numbers $c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm)$ are finite.*
- *(ii) Spectral numbers $c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm)$ do not depend on the choice of $H^\pm$-regular almost complex structures $J^\pm$.*
- *(iii) For any $H^\pm, K^\pm \in \mathcal{H}^\pm_{reg}$, we have*

$$c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) - c^\pm(a^\pm, K^\pm) \leq \int_0^1 \max_{p\in M}(H^\pm(t,p) - K^\pm(t,p))dt$$

and

$$c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) - c^\pm(a^\pm, K^\pm) \geq \int_0^1 \min_{p\in M}(H^\pm(t,p) - K^\pm(t,p))dt.$$

It follows that

$$|c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) - c^\pm(a^\pm, K^\pm)| \leq \|H^\pm - K^\pm\|_{L^{(1,\infty)}},$$

where the $L^{(1,\infty)}$**-norm** $\|\cdot\|_{L^{(1,\infty)}}$ *on* $C^\infty([0,1]\times M)$ *is defined as*

$$\|H\|_{L^{(1,\infty)}} := \int_0^1 \left(\sup_{x\in M} H(t,x) - \inf_{x\in M} H(t,x)\right) dt.$$

In particular, the functions $H^\pm \mapsto c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm)$ *are* C^0*-continuous on* $\mathcal{H}^\pm_{reg}$*.*

Corollary 3.2. *The functions* $c^\pm : H^\pm \mapsto c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm)$ *can be* C^∞*-continuously extended to functions* $c^\pm : \mathcal{H}^\pm \to \mathbb{R}$*, which are 1-Lipschitz w.r.t. the* $L^{(1,\infty)}$*-norm. In particular,* $c^\pm(a^\pm, H)$ *are well defined for* C^∞*-smooth compactly supported* $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M) \subset \mathcal{H}^+ \cap \mathcal{H}^-$*.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{H}^\pm_{reg}$ are C^∞-dense in $\mathcal{H}^\pm$, define

$$c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) := \lim_{n\to+\infty} c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm_n),$$

for any $H^\pm \in \mathcal{H}^\pm$, where $\mathcal{H}^\pm_{reg} \ni H^\pm_n \xrightarrow{C^\infty} H^\pm$. □

Proposition 3.3. *For any* $H^\pm, K^\pm \in \mathcal{H}^\pm$ *and any* $0 \neq a^\pm, b^\pm \in QH^\pm_*$ *we have the following properties of spectral numbers.*

- **(Spectrality):** *If* (M,ω) *is rational, i.e. the group* $\omega\left(H_2^S(M)\right) \leq \mathbb{R}$ *is a discrete subgroup of* $\mathbb{R}$*, or if* (M,ω) *is irrational, but the Hamiltonians* $H^\pm$ *are non-degenerate, then* $c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) \in \mathrm{Spec}(H^\pm)$*.*
- **(Quantum homology shift property):** $c^\pm(\lambda a^\pm, H^\pm) = c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) + \nu(\lambda)$ *for all* $\lambda \in \Lambda$*, where* ν *is the valuation from Definition 1.4.*
- **(Monotonicity):** *If* $H^\pm \leq K^\pm$*, then* $c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) \leq c^\pm(a^\pm, K^\pm)$*.*
- **(C^0-continuity):** $|c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) - c^\pm(a^\pm, K^\pm)| \leq \|H^\pm - K^\pm\|_{L^{(1,\infty)}}$*.*
- **(Symplectic invariance):** $c^\pm(a^\pm, \psi^* H^\pm) = c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm)$ *for every* $\psi \in \mathrm{Symp}^0_c(M,\omega)$*.*
- **(Normalization):** $c^\pm(a^\pm, 0) = \nu(a^\pm)$ *for every* $a^\pm \in QH^\pm_*$*, see Definition 1.4.*
- **(Homotopy invariance):** *If* $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ *and* $H \sim K$ *then* $c^\pm(a^\pm, H) = c^\pm(a^\pm, K)$*. Thus one can define* $c^\pm(a^\pm, \widetilde{\phi})$ *for any* $\widetilde{\phi} \in \widetilde{Ham}_c(M,\omega)$ *as* $c^\pm(a^\pm, H)$ *for any* $H \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ *generating* $\widetilde{\phi}$*, i.e.* $\widetilde{\phi} = \widetilde{\phi^1_H}$*.*
- **(Triangle inequality):** *For any* $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ *then*

$$c^\pm(a^\pm *^\pm b^\pm, H\#K) \leq c^\pm(a^\pm, H) + c^\pm(b^\pm, K),$$

and thus, for any $\widetilde{\phi}, \widetilde{\psi} \in \widetilde{Ham}_c(M,\omega)$ *we have*

$$c^\pm(a^\pm *^\pm b^\pm, \widetilde{\phi}\widetilde{\psi}) \leq c^\pm(a^\pm, \widetilde{\phi}) + c^\pm(b^\pm, \widetilde{\psi}).$$

- **(Poincaré-Lefschetz duality):** *Let* $\Pi_2 : QH^+_* \times QH^-_{2n-*} \to \mathbb{F}$ *be the non-degenerate pairing defined in* (9)*. Then*

$$c^\pm(a^\pm, H^\pm) = -\inf\left\{c^\mp\left(b^\mp, \left(H^\pm\right)^{(-1)}\right) \,\middle|\, \Pi_2(a^\pm, b^\mp) \neq 0\right\}.$$

In particular, for any $\widetilde{\phi} \in \widetilde{Ham_c}(M,\omega)$ *we have*

$$c^{\pm}\left(a^{\pm},\widetilde{\phi}\right) = -\inf\left\{ c^{\mp}\left(b^{\mp},\left(\widetilde{\phi}\right)^{-1}\right) \,\middle|\, \Pi_2(a^{\pm},b^{\mp}) \neq 0\right\}.$$

Proof.

(Spectrality): For $H^{\pm} \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm}_{reg}$ it follows from the general algebraic theory of M. Usher, see [32, Corollary 1.5]. For degenerate $H^{\pm}$ (in the rational case) we use the approximation technique due to Y.-G. Oh, see [25, Theorem 6.4].

(Quantum homology shift property): It follows directly from the equation

$$\ell_{H^{\pm}}\left(\Phi^{\pm}_{PSS}(\lambda a^{\pm})\right) = \ell_{H^{\pm}}\left(\lambda\Phi^{\pm}_{PSS}(a^{\pm})\right) = \ell_{H^{\pm}}\left(\Phi^{\pm}_{PSS}(a^{\pm})\right) + \nu(\lambda).$$

(Monotonicity): It follows from Proposition 3.1, item (iii).

(C^0-continuity): It follows from Corollary 3.2.

(Symplectic invariance): It follows verbatim from [25, Theorem 5.9, item 3].

(Normalization): It follows from [23, formula (5.14)] .

(Homotopy invariance): Given a Hamiltonian H_t, denote $\overline{H_t} := H_t - \int_M H_t\omega^n$. Let $H^{\pm}, K^{\pm} \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm}_{reg}$, such that $H^{\pm} \sim K^{\pm}$. Then $\overline{H^{\pm}} \sim \overline{K^{\pm}}$ and using the argument in [24, Theorem I], we get that $\mathrm{Spec}(\overline{H^{\pm}}) = \mathrm{Spec}(\overline{K^{\pm}})$. Repeating the argument of [25, Theorem 6.1], we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} c^{\pm}(a^{\pm},\overline{H^{\pm}}) &= c^{\pm}(a^{\pm},H^{\pm}) - \int_0^1\int_M H^{\pm}\omega^n dt = c^{\pm}(a^{\pm},\overline{K^{\pm}}) \\ &= c^{\pm}(a^{\pm},K^{\pm}) - \int_0^1\int_M K^{\pm}\omega^n dt. \end{aligned}$$

If $H, K \in \mathcal{H}_c(M)$ and $H \sim K$ then $\overline{H} \sim \overline{K}$. Following the proof of [25, Theorem 6.1], we take sequences $\{H^{\pm}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \{K^{\pm}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}^{\pm}_{reg}$, which C^{∞}-converge to H and K respectively. Then $K\#H^{\pm}_n\#K^{inv} \in \mathcal{H}^{\pm}_{reg}$, where $K^{inv}(t,x) := -K(t,\phi^t_K(x))$ generates $(\phi^t_K)^{-1}$, and by the symplectic invariance we have

$$c^{\pm}(a^{\pm},H^{\pm}_n) = c^{\pm}(a^{\pm},K\#H^{\pm}_n\#K^{inv}).$$

On the other hand, since $H \sim K$,

$$K\#H^{\pm}_n\#K^{inv} \sim K\#H^{\pm}_n\#H^{inv}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &c^{\pm}(a^{\pm},K\#H^{\pm}_n\#K^{inv}) - \int_0^1\int_M (K\#H^{\pm}_n\#K^{inv})\omega^n dt \\ &= c^{\pm}(a^{\pm},K\#H^{\pm}_n\#H^{inv}) - \int_0^1\int_M (K\#H^{\pm}_n\#H^{inv})\omega^n dt. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the limit $n \to +\infty$, using the continuity of the spectral numbers and the fact that $H\#H^{inv} = 0$, we get

$$c^{\pm}(a^{\pm}, H) - \int_0^1 \int_M (K\#H\#K^{inv})\omega^n dt = c^{\pm}(a^{\pm}, K) - \int_0^1 \int_M K\omega^n dt.$$

But, $\int_0^1 \int_M H\omega^n dt = \mathrm{Cal}(H)$ is the Calabi invariant and

$$K\#H\#K^{inv} \sim K\#H\#H^{inv} = K$$

implies that $\mathrm{Cal}(K\#H\#K^{inv}) = \mathrm{Cal}(K)$.

(Triangle inequality): It follows from the existence of pair-of-pants products $*^{\pm}_{PP}$ and a sharp energy estimate for the pair of pants, see [25, Section 7.4].

(Poincaré-Lefschetz duality): It follows from the general algebraic theory of M. Usher, see [33, Corollary 1.4].

□

References

[1] A. Abbondandolo and P. Majer, Lectures on the Morse complex for infinite-dimensional manifolds, in *Morse theoretic methods in nonlinear analysis and in symplectic topology*, 1–74, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 217 Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.

[2] A. Abbondandolo and M. Schwarz, Note on Floer homology and loop space homology, in *Morse theoretic methods in nonlinear analysis and in symplectic topology*, 75–108, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 217 Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.

[3] A. Abbondandolo and M. Schwarz, Floer homology of cotangent bundles and the loop product, *Geom. Topol.* **14** (2010), no. 3, 1569–1722.

[4] B. Aebischer et al., *Symplectic geometry*, Progress in Mathematics, 124, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1994.

[5] P. Albers, On the extrinsic topology of Lagrangian submanifolds, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005**, no. 38, 2341–2371.

[6] A. Banyaga and D. Hurtubise, *Lectures on Morse homology*, Kluwer Texts in the Mathematical Sciences, 29, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004.

[7] P. Biran and O. Cornea, A Lagrangian quantum homology, in *New perspectives and challenges in symplectic field theory*, 1–44, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 49 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009.

[8] P. Biran, L. Polterovich and D. Salamon, Propagation in Hamiltonian dynamics and relative symplectic homology, *Duke Math. J.* **119** (2003), no. 1, 65–118.

[9] K. Cieliebak, A. Floer and H. Hofer, Symplectic homology. II. A general construction, *Math. Z.* **218** (1995), no. 1, 103–122.

[10] M. Entov, K-area, Hofer metric and geometry of conjugacy classes in Lie groups, *Invent. Math.* **146** (2001), no. 1, 93–141.

[11] M. Entov and L. Polterovich, Calabi quasimorphism and quantum homology, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2003**, no. 30, 1635–1676.

[12] A. Floer, The unregularized gradient flow of the symplectic action, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **41** (1988), no. 6, 775–813.

[13] A. Floer and H. Hofer, Coherent orientations for periodic orbit problems in symplectic geometry, *Math. Z.* **212** (1993), no. 1, 13–38.

[14] U. Frauenfelder and F. Schlenk, Hamiltonian dynamics on convex symplectic manifolds, *Israel J. Math.* **159** (2007), 1–56.

[15] H. Hofer and D. A. Salamon, Floer homology and Novikov rings, in *The Floer memorial volume*, 483–524, Progr. Math., 133 Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.

[16] S. Lanzat, Symplectic quasi-morphisms and quasi-states for non-compact symplectic manifolds, PhD. thesis, Technion–Israel Inst. of Technology, 2012.

[17] S. Lanzat, Quasi-morphisms and Symplectic Quasi-states for Convex Symplectic Manifolds, *IMRN*, (2012), doi: 10.1093/imrn/rns205.

[18] S. Lanzat, Quantum homology of compact convex symplectic manifolds, in preparation.

[19] D. McDuff, Symplectic manifolds with contact type boundaries, *Invent. Math.* **103** (1991), no. 3, 651–671.

[20] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1995.

[21] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *J-holomorphic curves and symplectic topology*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 52, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

[22] Y.-G. Oh, Chain level Floer theory and Hofer's geometry of the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism group, *Asian J. Math.* **6** (2002), no. 4, 579–624.

[23] Y.-G. Oh, Construction of spectral invariants of Hamiltonian paths on closed symplectic manifolds, in *The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry*, 525–570, Progr. Math., 232 Birkhäuser, Boston, Boston, MA, 2005.

[24] Y.-G. Oh, Normalization of the Hamiltonian and the action spectrum, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **42** (2005), no. 1, 65–83.

[25] Y.-G. Oh, Lectures on Floer theory and spectral invariants of Hamiltonian flows, in *Morse theoretic methods in nonlinear analysis and in symplectic topology*, 321–416, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 217 Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.

[26] Y. Ostrover, Calabi quasi-morphisms for some non-monotone symplectic manifolds, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **6** (2006), 405–434 (electronic).

[27] S. Piunikhin, D. Salamon and M. Schwarz, Symplectic Floer-Donaldson theory and quantum cohomology, in *Contact and symplectic geometry (Cambridge, 1994)*, 171–200, Publ. Newton Inst., 8 Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[28] M. Schwarz, *Morse homology*, Progress in Mathematics, 111, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1993.

[29] M. Schwarz, Cohomology operations from S^1-cobordisms in Floer homology, Ph.D.-thesis, Swiss Federal Inst. of Techn. Zurich, Diss. ETH No. 11182, 1995.

[30] M. Schwarz, On the action spectrum for closed symplectically aspherical manifolds, *Pacific J. Math.* **193** (2000), no. 2, 419–461.

[31] P. Seidel, π_1 of symplectic automorphism groups and invertibles in quantum homology rings, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **7** (1997), no. 6, 1046–1095.

[32] M. Usher, Spectral numbers in Floer theories, *Compos. Math.* **144** (2008), no. 6, 1581–1592.

[33] M. Usher, Duality in filtered Floer-Novikov complexes, *J. Topol. Anal.* **2** (2010), no. 2, 233–258.

[34] C. Viterbo, Symplectic topology as the geometry of generating functions, *Math. Ann.* **292** (1992), no. 4, 685–710.

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, 53111 Bonn, Germany
E-mail address: `serjl@mpim-bonn.mpg.de`